PATENT APPLICATION 42390.P12085

Remarks

Reconsideration of this application is requested. Claim 1 has been amended and claims 13-31 have been withdrawn in response to the restriction requirements.

Applicants point out that the amendment to claim 1 was not made to overcome a rejection, but rather, was made to broaden the scope of claim 1. The amendment was not made for a reason of patentability, and, therefore, Applicants believe that at least for this reason, claim 1 is not subject to the complete bar against the use of the Doctrine of Equivalents.

Restriction Requirement

The Office action provides three restriction requirements. Although
Applicants do not necessarily agree with the characterizations of the claims, to
further prosecution of the present Application, in response this restriction
requirement Applicants elect Group I, claims 1-12, without traverse. Accordingly,
Applicants withdraw claims 13-31.

PATENT APPLICATION 42390.P12085

Should it be determined that an additional fee is due under 37 CFR §§1.16 or 1.17, or any excess fee has been received, please charge that fee or credit the amount of overcharge to deposit account #50-0221.

If the Examiner believes that there are any informalities which can be corrected by an Examiner's amendment, a telephone call to the undersigned at (480) 552-0624 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted, JEFF FARNSWORTH, ET AL.

Anthony M. Martinez Patent Attorney Reg. No. 44,223

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 7 2003

Dated: October 16, 2003

c/o Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Blvd., Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (503) 264-0967